

**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE (USPTO)**

<b>Serial Number</b>	10/825,894
<b>Confirmation Number</b>	8978
<b>Filing Date</b>	Apr 15, 2004
<b>Title of Application</b>	Related Term Suggestion for Multi-Sense Query
<b>First Named Inventor</b>	HuaJun Zeng
<b>Assignee</b>	Microsoft Corporation
<b>Group Art Unit</b>	2161
<b>Examiner</b>	Jessica N Le
<b>Attorney Docket Number</b>	MS1-1890US
<b>Nature of the Office Communication to which this is responding</b>	Final Office Action
<b>Date of the Office Communication</b>	11/28/07
<b>Nature of this Document</b>	Response to Final Office Action Under 37 CFR §1.116

To: Commissioner for Patents  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria VA 22313-1450

From: Beatrice L. Koempel-Thomas (Tel. 509-324-9256; Fax 509-323-8979)  
**Customer No. 22801**

**Brief Summary of Selected Substantive Portions of this Response**

**[0001]** In an in person interview (on Jan. 22, 2008), Primary Examiner Pham, Examiner Le, and I discussed claim terms and distinctions between the cited references and the claims. We also discussed an inadvertently omitted §101 rejection and possible clarifying claim amendments incorporating allowable subject matter. Clarifying claim amendments consistent with those discussed in the interview are presented herein.

**[0002]** This brief summary is not intended to represent the Applicant's full response to the Action. Rather, it is merely a brief summary of selected substantive portions of the response herein.